Networking **T**echnologies and **A**pplications

Rolland Vida
BME TMIT

Link-state protocols

Operation of link-state protocols

- The operation of link-state protocols has two steps:
  1. Each node discovers the network topology
     - Link state records advertised in the network
  2. In the obtained graph it finds the shortest path and the next hop on the path
  - Important!
    - The topology in each router should be the same
    - Finding the optimal path is done in the same way, in each node
      - If node A thinks the optimal route goes through B, and B thinks it goes through A – a loop is formed!

Link State Database

Link state Database						
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
B/6	A/6	B/2	A/2	B/1	C/2	C/5
D/2	C/2	F/2	E/2	D/2	E/4	F/1
	E/1	G/5		F/4		G/1

Networking technologies and applications

4

Dijkstra algorithm

- Route selection based on the Dijkstra algorithm
  - Let C be the root
 - Let's calculate the cost of the paths to our neighbors

Dijkstra algorithm 2

- Let's consider node F (the smallest cost, non-visited neighbor) and calculate the costs of the paths to the neighbors of F

- Shorter path to G through F. Node E gets in the picture

Dijkstra algorithm 3

- Let's consider node B, and calculate the costs to its neighbors
- Shorter path to E through B. Node A gets in the picture

Dijkstra algorithm 4

– Let's consider node E, and calculate the costs to its neighbors

– No changes, node D gets in the picture

Dijkstra algorithm 5

- – Consider node G, and calculate the costs
- – No changes

Dijkstra algorithm 6

- Consider node D, and calculate the costs to its neighbors
- Shorter path to node A!

Dijkstra algorithm 7

- Consider node A, and calcuate the costs
- No more neighbors
- End of story

Consequences of a broken link

- Links A-B and D-E are broken
  - The network is partitioned
  - No update messages between the two partitions
- Nodes A and D consider the rest of the network unreachable
- After the link is re-established, the routers synchronize their databases
  - Topology update

Link-state protocols

- OSPF – Open Shortest Path First
  - First standard – RFC 1131 ('89)
  - OSPFv2 – RFC 2178 ('97)
  - OSPFv3 – RFC 2740 ('99)
    - IPv6 version

OSPF related RFCs

2 level hierarchy

- An OSPF domain split into areas
  - For scalability reasons
- LSA (Link State Advertisement) advertised inside the areas only
- Aggregation between the areas
  - The changes inside an area not visible from outside
  - Special area – Backbone area (AreaID=0)

Networking technologies and applica

OSPF protocol operation

- Neighbor discovery
  - With the Hello protocol
- Chosing the Designated Router (DR) and Backup Designated Router (BDR)
  - Based on priorities
    - From 0 to 254
    - If priority set to 0, it will never be selected as DR or BDR
  - In case of equal priorities, the bigger Router ID wins
    - RID = the biggest configured loopback address on the router (127.x.x.x)
    - If no loopback address configured, RID = the biggest active interface address
  - If a higher priority router appears (is turned on) after the DR selection, it will not take over the DR role, until the DR and the BDR operate correctly
  - If the DR „dies", the BDR takes over the role
    - New BDR is selected

OSPF protocol operation

- Forming adjacencies
  - Synchronizing the database and advertising the LSAs among the neighbors
  - The DR decreases the network traffic
    - The DR maintains a table about the entire network topology
    - Each router inside an area in a master-slave relation with the DR
    - Routers send updates to the 224.0.0.6 multicast address
      - All OSPF DR and BDR routers
    - The DR send the new table to the 224.0.0.5 multicast address
      - All OSPF routers

Autonomous sytsem

- **AS – autonomous system**
 - Set of routers inside a domain that is technically supervised by one entity
    - One ISP, one administration
  - Some IGP (Interior Gateway Protocol) protocol inside the AS
    - E.g., RIP, OSPF
  - Some Exterior Gateway Protocol (EGP) for inter-AS routing
    - E.g. BGP-4

Internet topology

- Network of autonomous systems
  - Customer-provider relation
    - **Transit relation** – connecting to the global network
  - **Peering relation** - two equal rank ASs, between two equal rank providers
    - Not transitive

Tranzit vs. Peering

BIX2
(Budapest Internet Exchange)
210 Gbit/s (2014)

Costs of Peering
1) Transport
2) Colocation
3) Equipment
4) Peering Port Fees

IXP

A
A
A

ISP A

Internet Transit Service

B
B
B

ISP B

Internet Transit Service

Global Internet
other destinations

Transit ISP

other destinations

BIX Total Traffic from 2013.03. to 2016.03.

Bits per Second
200 G
100 G
0
2013   2014   2015

RRDTOOL / TOBI OETIKER

Internet topology

- Advantages of the IGP-EGP hierarchy
  - Scalability for large networks
    - Fewer prefixes to be sent
    - Faster convergence
  - Limits error propagation
  - Administrative autonomy
   - Inside each AS an IGP protocol of choice

IGP vs. EGP

- In IGP automatic neighbor discover
- In EGP specially configured peers

- In IGP you trust the routers
- In EGP you have limited trust in connections with other networks

- In IGP prefixes are distributed inside the entire network
- In EGP prefix distribution is administratively limited

- IGP connects routers of the same AS
- EGP connects the routers of different ASs

Border Gateway Protocol

- One of the main building blocks of the Internet
- BGP chronology
  - Initial standard – BGP – RFC 1105 ('89)
  - BGP-3 – RFC 1267 ('91)
  - BGP-4 – RFC 1771 ('95)
  - Last version – RFC 4271 ('06)

- External BGP (eBGP)
  - BGP connection with a neighbor router from a different AS
- Internal BGP (iBGP)
 - BGP connection with a neighbor router from the same AS

BGP properties

- **CIDR (Classless Inter-Domain Routing)** support
  - Variable length prefixes
  - Efficient address aggregation
- Manual neighbor configuration
  - No automatic discovery
- No periodic updates – hard state
  - Explicit UPDATE messages – NLRI records
    - Network Layer Reachability Information
      - (Destination prefix, AS path, next hop)
    - Loops can be avoide by listing the ASs
 - If a route becomes unavailable, it is also advertised explicitely

iBGP

- Distributes prefixes from eBGP neighbors

- iBGP nodes – full mesh
  - No iBGP routing

- Drawback – a full mesh is not scalable
  - If n=1000,

    n(n-1)/2 = 499.500

    iBGP sessions

13 Routers ⇒ 78 iBGP Sessions!

Route reflector

Route reflector redundancy