## Hálózatba kapcsolt erőforrás platformok és alkalmazásaik

Simon Csaba TMIT 2018

## Message Passing Interface

2

## Message Passing Pros and Cons

### • Pros

- Simpler and cheaper hardware
- Explicit communication makes programmers aware of costly (communication) operations

### • Cons

- Explicit communication is painful to program
- Requires manual optimization
  - If you want a variable to be local and accessible via LD/ST, you must declare it as such
  - If other processes need to read or write this variable, you must explicitly code the needed sends and receives to do this

# Message Passing: A Program Calculating the sum of array elements

#define ASIZE 1024
#define NUMPROC 4
double myArray[ASIZE/NUMPROC];

double mySum=0;

```
for(int i=0;i<ASIZE/NUMPROC;i++)</pre>
```

```
mySum+=myArray[i];
```

```
if(myPID=0) {
```

```
for(int p=1;p<NUMPROC;p++) {</pre>
```

int pSum;

```
recv(p,pSum);
```

mySum+=pSum;

```
}
```

```
printf("Sum: %lf\n",mySum);
```

}else

send(0,mySum);

Must manually split the array

### "Master" processor adds up partial sums and prints the result

"Slave" processors send their partial results to master

## MPI programming example

https://hpcc.usc.edu/support/documentation/examples-of-mpi-programs

## Shared Memory Pros and Cons

- Pros
  - Communication happens automatically
  - More natural way of programming
    - Easier to write correct programs and gradually optimize them
  - No need to manually distribute data (but can help if you do)
- Cons
  - Needs more hardware support
  - Easy to write correct, but inefficient programs (remote accesses look the same as local ones)

### **Computing Elements**



### Two Eras of Computing

Sequential Era

Parallel Era



## High-Performance Computing / Introduction

Source: James R. Knight/Yale Center for Genome Analysis

## 1950's - The Beginning...



## 2016 - Looking very similar...



## ... but there are differences

- Not a single computer but thousands of them, called a <u>cluster</u>
  - Hundreds of physical "computers", called <u>nodes</u>
  - Each with 4-64 CPU's, called **<u>cores</u>**
- Nobody works in the server rooms anymore
  - IT is there to fix what breaks, not to run computations (or help you run computations)
  - Everything is done by remote connections
- Computation is performed by submitting **jobs** for running
  - This actually hasn't changed...but how you run jobs has...







### 1970's - Terminals, In the Beginning...

Schill:" Scott\$ Schill:" Scott\$ Schill:" Scott\$ Schill:" Scott\$ ssh root@192.168.0.1 DD-WRT v24-sp2 vpn (c) 2009 NewMedia-NET GmbH Release: 11/02/09 (SVN revision: 13064) root@192.168.0.1's password:

> DD-WRT v24-sp2 http://www.dd-wrt.com

BusyBox v1.13.4 (2009-11-02 14:11:41 CET) built-in shell (ash) Enter 'help' for a list of built-in commands.

root@Spork:"#

## 2016 - Pretty much the same

#### Terminal

- Terminal app on Mac
- Look in the "Other" folder in Launchpad



## **Cluster Models**



## **Beowulf Clusters**

- Simple and highly configurable
- Low cost
- Networked
  - Computers connected to one another by a private Ethernet network
  - Connection to an external network is through a single gateway computer
- Configuration
  - COTS Commodity-off-the-shelf components such as inexpensive computers
  - Blade components computers mounted on a motherboard that are plugged into connectors on a rack
  - Either shared-disk or shared-nothing model

### Blade and Rack of Beowulf Cluster



## Cluster computing concept

21

### Cluster Computing - Research Projects

- Beowulf (CalTech and NASA) USA
- CCS (Computing Centre Software) Paderborn, Germany
- Condor Wisconsin State University, USA
- DQS (Distributed Queuing System) Florida State University, US.
- EASY Argonne National Lab, USA
- HPVM -(High Performance Virtual Machine),UIUC&now UCSB,US
- *far* University of Liverpool, UK
- Gardens Queensland University of Technology, Australia
- MOSIX Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel
- MPI (MPI Forum, MPICH is one of the popular implementations)
- NOW (Network of Workstations) Berkeley, USA
- NIMROD Monash University, Australia
- NetSolve University of Tennessee, USA
- PBS (Portable Batch System) NASA Ames and LLNL, USA
- PVM Oak Ridge National Lab./UTK/Emory, USA

### **Cluster Computing - Commercial Software**

- Codine (Computing in Distributed Network Environment) GENIAS GmbH, Germany
- LoadLeveler IBM Corp., USA
- LSF (Load Sharing Facility) Platform Computing, Canada
- NQE (Network Queuing Environment) Craysoft Corp., USA
- OpenFrame Centre for Development of Advanced Computing, India
- RWPC (Real World Computing Partnership), Japan
- Unixware (SCO-Santa Cruz Operations,), USA
- Solaris-MC (Sun Microsystems), USA
- ClusterTools (A number for free HPC clusters tools from Sun)
- A number of commercial vendors worldwide are offering clustering solutions including IBM, Compaq, Microsoft, a number of startups like TurboLinux, HPTI, Scali, BlackStone.....)

## Motivation for using Clusters

- Surveys show <u>utilisation of CPU cycles</u> of desktop workstations is typically <10%.
- <u>Performance of workstations</u> and PCs is rapidly improving
- As performance grows, <u>percent utilisation will</u> <u>decrease even further</u>!
- <u>Organisations are reluctant to buy</u> large supercomputers, due to the large expense and short useful life span.

## Motivation for using Clusters

- <u>The development tools</u> for workstations are more mature than the contrasting proprietary solutions for parallel computers - mainly due to the non-standard nature of many parallel systems.
- <u>Workstation clusters are a cheap</u> and readily available alternative to specialised High Performance Computing (HPC) platforms.
- Use of clusters of workstations as a distributed compute resource is very cost effective incremental growth of system!!!

- <u>Usually a workstation will be *owned* by an</u> <u>individual</u>, group, department, or organisation they are dedicated to the exclusive use by the *owners*.
- This brings problems when attempting to form a cluster of workstations for running distributed applications.

- Typically, there are three types of owners, who use their workstations mostly for:
  - 1<u>. Sending and receiving email</u> and preparing documents.
  - 2. <u>Software development</u> edit, compile, debug and test cycle.
  - 3. <u>Running compute-intensive</u> applications.

- Cluster computing <u>aims to steal spare cycles</u> from (1) and (2) to provide resources for (3).
- However, this requires <u>overcoming the *ownership hurdle*</u> people are very protective of *their* workstations.
- Usually requires <u>organisational mandate</u> that computers are to be used in this way.
- <u>Stealing cycles outside standard work hours</u> (e.g. overnight) is easy, stealing idle cycles during work hours without impacting interactive use (both CPU and memory) is much harder.

# Type of Clusters

29

- HA
- Load distribution

## High-Performance Computing / Introduction

Source: James R. Knight/Yale Center for Genome Analysis

## 1950's - The Beginning...



## 2016 - Looking very similar...



## ... but there are differences

- Not a single computer but thousands of them, called a <u>cluster</u>
  - Hundreds of physical "computers", called <u>nodes</u>
  - Each with 4-64 CPU's, called **<u>cores</u>**
- Nobody works in the server rooms anymore
  - IT is there to fix what breaks, not to run computations (or help you run computations)
  - Everything is done by remote connections
- Computation is performed by submitting **jobs** for running
  - This actually hasn't changed...but how you run jobs has...







### 1970's - Terminals, In the Beginning...

Schill:" Scott\$ Schill:" Scott\$ Schill:" Scott\$ Schill:" Scott\$ ssh root@192.168.0.1 DD-WRT v24-sp2 vpn (c) 2009 NewMedia-NET GmbH Release: 11/02/09 (SVN revision: 13064) root@192.168.0.1's password:

> DD-WRT v24-sp2 http://www.dd-wrt.com

BusyBox v1.13.4 (2009-11-02 14:11:41 CET) built-in shell (ash) Enter 'help' for a list of built-in commands.

root@Spork:"#

## 2016 - Pretty much the same

#### Terminal

- Terminal app on Mac
- Look in the "Other" folder in Launchpad



## **Cluster Models**



## **Beowulf Clusters**

- Simple and highly configurable
- Low cost
- Networked
  - Computers connected to one another by a private Ethernet network
  - Connection to an external network is through a single gateway computer
- Configuration
  - COTS Commodity-off-the-shelf components such as inexpensive computers
  - Blade components computers mounted on a motherboard that are plugged into connectors on a rack
  - Either shared-disk or shared-nothing model

### Blade and Rack of Beowulf Cluster



## Cluster computing concept

42

### Cluster Computing - Research Projects

- Beowulf (CalTech and NASA) USA
- CCS (Computing Centre Software) Paderborn, Germany
- Condor Wisconsin State University, USA
- DQS (Distributed Queuing System) Florida State University, US.
- EASY Argonne National Lab, USA
- HPVM -(High Performance Virtual Machine),UIUC&now UCSB,US
- *far* University of Liverpool, UK
- Gardens Queensland University of Technology, Australia
- MOSIX Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel
- MPI (MPI Forum, MPICH is one of the popular implementations)
- NOW (Network of Workstations) Berkeley, USA
- NIMROD Monash University, Australia
- NetSolve University of Tennessee, USA
- PBS (Portable Batch System) NASA Ames and LLNL, USA
- PVM Oak Ridge National Lab./UTK/Emory, USA

### **Cluster Computing - Commercial Software**

- Codine (Computing in Distributed Network Environment) GENIAS GmbH, Germany
- LoadLeveler IBM Corp., USA
- LSF (Load Sharing Facility) Platform Computing, Canada
- NQE (Network Queuing Environment) Craysoft Corp., USA
- OpenFrame Centre for Development of Advanced Computing, India
- RWPC (Real World Computing Partnership), Japan
- Unixware (SCO-Santa Cruz Operations,), USA
- Solaris-MC (Sun Microsystems), USA
- ClusterTools (A number for free HPC clusters tools from Sun)
- A number of commercial vendors worldwide are offering clustering solutions including IBM, Compaq, Microsoft, a number of startups like TurboLinux, HPTI, Scali, BlackStone.....)

## Motivation for using Clusters

- Surveys show <u>utilisation of CPU cycles</u> of desktop workstations is typically <10%.
- <u>Performance of workstations</u> and PCs is rapidly improving
- As performance grows, <u>percent utilisation will</u> <u>decrease even further</u>!
- <u>Organisations are reluctant to buy</u> large supercomputers, due to the large expense and short useful life span.

## Motivation for using Clusters

- <u>The development tools</u> for workstations are more mature than the contrasting proprietary solutions for parallel computers - mainly due to the non-standard nature of many parallel systems.
- <u>Workstation clusters are a cheap</u> and readily available alternative to specialised High Performance Computing (HPC) platforms.
- Use of clusters of workstations as a distributed compute resource is very cost effective incremental growth of system!!!

- <u>Usually a workstation will be *owned* by an</u> <u>individual</u>, group, department, or organisation they are dedicated to the exclusive use by the *owners*.
- This brings problems when attempting to form a cluster of workstations for running distributed applications.

- Typically, there are three types of owners, who use their workstations mostly for:
  - 1<u>. Sending and receiving email</u> and preparing documents.
  - 2. <u>Software development</u> edit, compile, debug and test cycle.
  - 3. <u>Running compute-intensive</u> applications.

- Cluster computing <u>aims to steal spare cycles</u> from (1) and (2) to provide resources for (3).
- However, this requires <u>overcoming the *ownership hurdle*</u> people are very protective of *their* workstations.
- Usually requires <u>organisational mandate</u> that computers are to be used in this way.
- <u>Stealing cycles outside standard work hours</u> (e.g. overnight) is easy, stealing idle cycles during work hours without impacting interactive use (both CPU and memory) is much harder.

# Type of Clusters

50

- HA
- Load distribution

### P2P Computing vs Cluster/Grid Computing

- Differ in Target Communities
- Grid system deals with more complex, more powerful, more diverse and highly interconnected set of resources than P2P.

## **Cluster Work Schedulers**

52

### A typical Cluster Computing Environment







### CC should support

- Multi-user, time-sharing environments
- Nodes with different CPU speeds and memory sizes (heterogeneous configuration)
- Many processes, with unpredictable requirements
- Unlike SMP: insufficient "bonds" between nodes
  - Each computer operates independently
  - Inefficient utilization of resources

The missing link is provide by cluster middleware/underware







### SSI Clusters--SMP services on a -CC

### "Pool Together" the "Cluster-Wide" resources

- Adaptive resource usage for better performance
- Ease of use almost like SMP
- Scalable configurations by decentralized control

Result: *HPC/HAC at PC/Workstation prices* 

## What is Cluster Middleware ?

- An interface between between use applications and cluster hardware and OS platform.
- Middleware packages support each other at the management, programming, and implementation levels.
- Middleware Layers:
  - SSI Layer
  - Availability Layer: It enables the cluster services of
    - Checkpointing, Automatic Failover, recovery from failure,
    - fault-tolerant operating among all cluster nodes.

## Middleware Design Goals

- Complete Transparency (Manageability)
  - Lets the see a single cluster system..
    - Single entry point, ftp, telnet, software loading...
- Scalable Performance
  - Easy growth of cluster
    - no change of API & automatic load distribution.
- Enhanced Availability
  - Automatic Recovery from failures
    - Employ checkpointing & fault tolerant technologies
  - Handle consistency of data when replicated..

## Work schedulers - requirements

- Interactive or batch
- Stable
- Robust
- Efficient resource management
- Lightweigth
- Fair
- Avoids starvation
- SGE Sun Grid Engine (Oracle Grid Engine, Open Grid Scheduler)
- SLURM (Simple Linux Utility for Resource Management)
- MOAB + Torque
- HTCondor
- ...

## Redirect: MOAB

60

## Cluster Stack / Framework:





### Resource Manager (RM)

- While other systems may have more strict interpretations of a resource manager and its responsibilities, Moab's *multi-resource manager* support allows a much more liberal interpretation.
  - In essence, any object which can provide environmental information and environmental control can be utilized as a resource manager.
- Moab is able to aggregate information from multiple unrelated sources into a larger more complete *world view* of the cluster which includes all the information and control found within a standard resource manager such as TORQUE including:
  - Node
  - Job
  - Queue management services.

### The Evolved Cluster



### Moab Architecture



### What Moab Does

- Optimizes Resource Utilization with Intelligent Scheduling and Advanced Reservations
- Unifies Cluster Management across Varied Resources and Services
- Dynamically Adjusts Workload to Enforce Policies and Service Level Agreements
- Automates Diagnosis and Failure Response

### What Moab Does Not Do

- Does not does do resource management (usually)
- Does not install the system (usually)
- Not a storage manager
- Not a license manager
- Does not do message passing

# Supported Platforms/Environments

### • Resource Managers

- TORQUE, OpenPBS, PBSPro, LSF, Loadleveler, SLURM, BProc, clubMASK, S3, WIKI
- Operating Systems
  - RedHat, SUSE, Fedora, Debian, FreeBSD, (+ all known variants of Linux), AIX, IRIX, HP-UX, OS/X, OSF/Tru-64, SunOS, Solaris, (+ all known variants of UNIX)
- Hardware
  - Intel x86, Intel IA-32, Intel IA-64, AMD x86, AMD Opteron, SGI Altix, HP, IBM SP, IBM x-Series, IBM p-Series, IBM i-Series, Mac G4 and G5