

# INTRODUCTION TO TESTING

Gusztáv Adamis  
adamis@tmit.bme.hu

# WHITE AND BLACK BOX TESTING

- › White box testing – typically during development
  - Access to code
  - Access to development environment
- › Black box testing
  - Internal structure of the code is not known/interested
  - Checks the communication between the tested entity and its environment
  - IUT/SUT – Implementation/System Under Test
  - Tester – may be decomposed
  - PCO – Point of Control and Observation

# BLACK BOX TESTING

## › Black box testing

- Implementation/System Under Test
- Point of Control and Observation



## › Not possible to test all the situations

- Test Purposes


Verdict:

pass,

fail,

inconclusive


# CONFORMANCE TESTING



- › Checks if IUT conforms to its specification


# TEST SUITES

- › Verification:
  - Check the correctness of formal model
- › Test purpose
  - What to test, how to test
- › ATS – Abstract Test Suite
  - Test for every feature
  - Parameters
  - High-level communication
- › ETS – Executable Test Suite
  - Tests only for implemented features
  - Parameters substituted by concrete values
  - Coding/Decoding of messages
- › Validation
  - Checks the correctness of ATS



# TEST CASES IN BLACK-BOX TEST


- › Implementation of a Test Purpose
- › Focuses on a single requirement
- › Returns verdict (pass, fail, inconclusive)
- › Typically a sequence of action-observation-verdict update:
  - Action (stimulus): non-blocking (e.g. transmit PDU, start timer)
  - Observation (event): takes care of multiple alternative events (e.g. expected PDU, unexpected PDU, timeout)




# TEST TREE

Possible event


sequences



Behaviour tree



Alternatives




# TEST EXECUTION

- › Manual test execution
- › Automated test execution
  - Test scripts
  - Log files



# INDEPENDENCE AND STRUCTURE OF ABSTRACT TEST CASES

- › *Abstract test cases* should contain
  - *preamble*: sequence of test events to drive IUT into *initial testing state* from the *starting stable testing state*
  - *test body*: sequence of test events to achieve the *test purpose*
  - *postamble*: sequence of test events which drive IUT into a *finishing stable testing state*
- › Preamble/postamble may be absent



# TEST RESULTS


- › Test outcome
  - foreseen
  - unforeseen – test case errors
- › Verdict
  - pass
  - fail
  - inconclusive
- › Test log
- › Requirements on test outcomes
  - repeatable
  - comparable
  - auditable

# CONFORMANCE TEST PHASES


- › Capability Test
  - Static analysis
    - › if protocol options selected correctly
- › Basic Interconnection Test
  - IUT able to communicate at all
- › Behaviour Test
- › Conformance Resolution Test
  - Non standardised methods
  - Multilayer tests
  - Detects reasons of non-conform situations
    - › inconclusive

# CONFORMANCE TEST DOCUMENTS

- › PICS: Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
- › PIXIT: Protocol Implementation eXtra Information on Testing
- › PCTR/SCTR: Protocol/System Conformance Test Report



# PASSIVE TESTER



- › Only observes
  - waits for error
    - › no guarantee to happen
- › Protocol Analyzer

# ACTIVE TESTER




- › Active
  - can send messages
- › Valid testing
- › Provocative testing
  - Invalid
    - › Sends syntactically incorrect messages
  - Improper
    - › Sends syntactically correct messages, but at wrong time/state
- › Test cases are generated before testing starts

# TEST ARRANGEMENTS

- › ISO 9646
  - › Upper Tester
  - › Lower Tester
- › Local Test Method
- › Distributed Test Method
- › Coordinated Test Method
- › Remote Test Method


# LOCAL TEST METHOD



a) The Local test methods




# DISTRIBUTED TEST METHOD




**b) The Distributed test methods**

# COORDINATED TEST METHOD



**c) The Coordinated test methods**


# REMOTE TEST METHOD



T0720460-94d08

**d) The Remote test methods**

# DESIGN – TEST PHASES



# UNIT TEST

- › Unit testing
  - also known as component, module or program testing,
- › Searches for defects in, and verifies the functioning of software that are separately testable
  - e.g. modules, programs, objects, classes, etc.
- › Focuses on one class or method
- › Small, fast
  - Unit tests run fast. If they don't run fast, they aren't unit tests.
  - All the unit tests shall run in less than ~10 seconds

# UNIT TEST

- › White-box testing type
  - Access to code
  - Access to development environment
  - Writes the programmer/developer
    - › Sometimes a different one
  - Defects fixed when found
- › They test *how* the code is implemented rather the concept

# COMPONENT / UNIT TEST


- › All code must have unit tests
- › All code must pass all unit tests before it can be released
- › When a bug is found, tests are created

# UNIT TESTS

## › Mocking:

- substitutes its own object (the “mock object”) for an object that talks to the outside world
- checks that it is called correctly and provides a pre-scripted response

## › Stubs and Drivers





# INTEGRATION TESTS

- › **Integration testing** tests interfaces between components, interactions to different parts of a system such as an operating system, file system and hardware or interfaces between systems
- › Checks how code communicates with the rest of world
  - talks to a database
  - communicates across a network
  - touches the file system
  - special things to your environment (such as editing configuration files) to be done to run it

# LEVELS OF INTEGRATION TESTING

- › Component integration testing
  - tests the interactions between software components and is done after component (unit) testing;
- › System integration testing
  - tests the interactions between different systems and may be done after system testing.
- › The greater the scope of integration, the more difficult it becomes to isolate failures to a specific interface

# INTEGRATION TEST APPROACHES

## › 'Big-bang' integration testing

- All components or systems are integrated simultaneously
- Advantage: everything is finished before integration testing starts
  - › no need to simulate (yet unfinished) parts
- Disadvantage: time-consuming, difficult to trace the cause of failures with this late integration
- Good if expecting to find no problems

## › Incremental testing

- All components are integrated one by one, and a test is carried out after each step
- Advantage: defects are found early in a smaller assembly when it is relatively easy to detect the cause
- Disadvantage: it can be time-consuming since stubs and drivers have to be developed and used in the test

# TYPES OF INCREMENTAL INTEGRATION TESTS

- › Top-down: testing takes place from top to bottom, following the control flow or architectural structure (e.g. starting from the GUI or main menu)
  - Components or systems are substituted by stubs.
- › Bottom-up: testing takes place from the bottom of the control flow upwards
  - Components or systems are substituted by drivers
- › Functional incremental: integration and testing takes place on the basis of the functions or functionality, as documented in the functional specification

# INTEGRATION TESTS

- › Start with testing high-risk interfaces
  - Prevents major defects at the end of the integration test stage
- › Integration tests concentrate solely on the integration itself
  - Checks the communication between the integrated components not the functionality of them
- › Testing of specific non-functional characteristics (e.g. performance) may also be included
- › May be carried out by developers or by testers

# INTEGRATION TESTS

- › Shall run in the same way
  - If e.g. a data-base value needed – write it before the test
  - Independent from the order of execution
- › Shall run on its own
  - Set up its environment
  - Restore the previous environment at the end
    - › Even if fails or exception thrown (!)
- › Not needed too many
  - Each shall test just one aspect of the communication
  - Number is proportional to the external interaction types
  - If lot of needed can indicate design problem
    - › Business logic is not well separated from communication

# SYSTEM TESTS

- › System testing is concerned with the behavior of the whole system/product
  - System testing is most often the final test on behalf of development to verify that the system to be delivered meets the specification
  - Purpose: to find as many defects as possible
  - Investigate both **functional** and **non-functional requirements**
    - › Typical non-functional tests include performance and reliability
  - Requires a controlled **test environment**
    - › shall correspond to the final target or production environment

# ACCEPTANCE TESTS

- › When development organization has performed system test, system will be delivered to the user or customer for **acceptance testing**
  - Acceptance testing is the responsibility of the user or customer
  - The execution of the acceptance test requires a test environment that is representative of the production environment
  - Acceptance testing determines whether the system is fit for its purpose
  - Finding defects should not be the main focus in acceptance testing
  - Although it assesses the system's readiness for deployment and use
  - Not necessarily the final level of testing
    - › large-scale system integration test may come after the acceptance of a system.



# TYPES OF ACCEPTANCE TESTING

- › User acceptance test
  - Focuses on the functionality: validates the fitness-for-use of the system by the business user
- › Operational (or production) acceptance test
  - Validates whether the system meets the requirements for operation
  - May include testing of backup/restore, disaster recovery, maintenance tasks and periodic check of security vulnerabilities
- › Contract acceptance testing
  - Contract acceptance testing is performed against a contract's acceptance criteria
  - Acceptance should be formally defined when the contract is agreed
- › Compliance (regulation) acceptance testing
  - Performed against the regulations which must be adhered to, such as governmental, legal or safety regulations

# ALPHA/BETA TESTS

- › If the system has been developed for the mass market
  - Feedback is needed from potential or existing users before the software product is put out for sale commercially.
- › Alpha testing
  - Takes place at the developer's site.
  - A cross-section of potential users and members of the developer's organization are invited
  - Developers observe the users and note problems
- › Beta testing
  - A cross-section of users invited, who install it and use it under real-world working conditions.
  - The users send records of incidents with the system to the development organization where the defects are repaired.

# TEST TYPES: THE TARGETS OF TESTING

- › A **test type** is focused on a particular test objective
  - testing of a **function** to be performed by the component or system;
  - a **nonfunctional** quality characteristic, such as reliability or usability;
  - the **structure**/architecture of the component or system;
  - related to **changes**,
    - › i.e. confirming that defects have been fixed (confirmation testing, or re-testing)
    - › looking for unintended changes (regression testing).

# FUNCTIONAL TESTING

- › The function of a system (or component) is
  - 'what it does'.
  - Typically described in a requirements specification, a functional specification, or in use cases
- › **Functional testing** considers the specified behavior
  - Black-box testing
  - Based upon ISO 9126
  - Can focus on suitability, interoperability, security, accuracy and compliance

# VERSIONS OF FUNCTION TESTING

## › Requirements-based testing

- Uses a specification of the functional requirements
- A good way to start is to use the table of contents of the requirements specification
- Decide what to test (or not to test)
- Prioritize the requirements based on risk criteria
  - › This ensures that the most important/critical tests are included

## › Business-process-based testing

- Uses knowledge of the business processes
- E.g business processes of a payroll system can be:
  - › someone joins the company,
  - › is paid on a regular basis
  - › leaves the company, etc.

# NON-FUNCTIONAL TESTING

- › Testing of product quality characteristics or non-functional attributes of the system
  - how well or how fast the system works
    - › performance testing (different load)
      - load testing (expected load)
      - stress testing (overloading)
    - › usability testing
    - › maintainability testing
    - › reliability testing
    - › portability testing

# LOAD TEST

- › Test how the system behaves in real environment
  - Expected traffic
- › Testing with (high) traffic
  - Different traffic models
  - Simulating a lot of users
  - Needs automation
  - Time limits
- › Very expensive tools

# QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS

## ISO 9126

- › **Functionality** (Functional testing)

- suitability, accuracy, security, interoperability;

- › **Reliability**

- Maturity (robustness), fault-tolerance, recoverability

- › **Usability**

- understandability, learnability, operability, attractiveness

- › **Efficiency**

- time behavior (performance), resource utilization

- › **Maintainability**

- analyzability, changeability, stability, testability

- › **Portability**

- adaptability, installability, co-existence, replaceability



# TESTING RELATED TO CHANGES - 1

- › Re-testing (Confirmation testing)
  - Test fails -> determine the cause -> defect is reported -> new version of the software in which defect fixed
  - Execute the failed test again to confirm that the defect has indeed been fixed
- › Important to ensure that the test is executed in exactly the same way as it was the first time using the same
  - Inputs
  - Data
  - Environment

# TESTING RELATED TO CHANGES - 2

- › Regression testing
  - Check if the modification of software/environment does not introduce bug in the non-modified part
  - Typically executes test cases that have been executed before
- › All the regression tests shall be executed every time a new version of software is produced
  - After bug-fixes
  - Change existing functionality
  - Introduce new functionality
  - Environment changes
    - › E.g. new Data-base, new compiler
- › Ideal candidates for **automation**

# EVOLUTION OF REGRESSION TEST SUITE

- › Maintenance of a regression test suite is necessary
  - Shall evolve in line with the software
- › When new functionality is added to a system
  - New regression tests shall be added
- › If old functionality is changed or removed
  - Regression tests to be changed or removed
- › If becomes too large
  - Subset of the test cases has to be chosen
  - Keep the new/recently failed tests
  - Eliminate test cases that have not found a defect for a long time (though this approach should be used with some care!)