

INTRODUCTION TO TESTING

Gusztáv Adamis

adamis@tmit.bme.hu

WHITE AND BLACK BOX TESTING

- › White box testing – typically during development
 - Access to code
 - Access to development environment
- › Black box testing
 - Internal structure of the code is not known/interested
 - Checks the communication between the tested entity and its environment
 - IUT/SUT – Implementation/System Under Test
 - Tester – may be decomposed
 - PCO – Point of Control and Observation

BLACK BOX TESTING

› Black box testing

- Implementation/System Under Test
- Point of Control and Observation



› Not possible to test all the situations

- Test Purposes


Verdict:

pass,

fail,

inconclusive


CONFORMANCE TESTING



- › Checks if IUT conforms to its specification


TEST SUITES

- › Verification:
 - Check the correctness of formal model
- › Test purpose
 - What to test, how to test
- › ATS – Abstract Test Suite
 - Test for every feature
 - Parameters
 - High-level communication
- › ETS – Executable Test Suite
 - Tests only for implemented features
 - Parameters substituted by concrete values
 - Coding/Decoding of messages
- › Validation
 - Checks the correctness of ATS



TEST CASES IN BLACK-BOX TEST


- › Implementation of a Test Purpose
- › Focuses on a single requirement
- › Returns verdict (pass, fail, inconclusive)
- › Typically a sequence of action-observation-verdict update:
 - Action (stimulus): non-blocking (e.g. transmit PDU, start timer)
 - Observation (event): takes care of multiple alternative events (e.g. expected PDU, unexpected PDU, timeout)




TEST TREE

Possible event


sequences



Behaviour tree



Alternatives




TEST EXECUTION

- › Manual test execution
- › Automated test execution
 - Test scripts
 - Log files

INDEPENDENCE AND STRUCTURE OF ABSTRACT TEST CASES

- › *Abstract test cases* should contain
 - *preamble*: sequence of test events to drive IUT into *initial testing state* from the *starting stable testing state*
 - *test body*: sequence of test events to achieve the *test purpose*
 - *postamble*: sequence of test events which drive IUT into a *finishing stable testing state*
- › Preamble/postamble may be absent



TEST RESULTS


- › Test outcome
 - foreseen
 - unforeseen – test case errors
- › Verdict
 - pass
 - fail
 - inconclusive
- › Test log
- › Requirements on test outcomes
 - repeatable
 - comparable
 - auditable

CONFORMANCE TEST PHASES


- › Capability Test
 - Static analysis
 - › if protocol options selected correctly
- › Basic Interconnection Test
 - IUT able to communicate at all
- › Behaviour Test
- › Conformance Resolution Test
 - Non standardised methods
 - Multilayer tests
 - Detects reasons of non-conform situations
 - › inconclusive

CONFORMANCE TEST DOCUMENTS

- › PICS: Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
- › PIXIT: Protocol Implementation eXtra Information on Testing
- › PCTR/SCTR: Protocol/System Conformance Test Report



PASSIVE TESTER



- › Only observes
 - waits for error
 - › no guarantee to happen
- › Protocol Analyzer

ACTIVE TESTER




- › Active
 - can send messages
- › Valid testing
- › Provocative testing
 - Invalid
 - › Sends syntactically incorrect messages
 - Improper
 - › Sends syntactically correct messages, but at wrong time/state
- › Test cases are generated before testing starts

TEST ARRANGEMENTS


- › ISO 9646
 - › Upper Tester
 - › Lower Tester
- › Local Test Method
- › Distributed Test Method
- › Coordinated Test Method
- › Remote Test Method

LOCAL TEST METHOD




a) The Local test methods

DISTRIBUTED TEST METHOD




b) The Distributed test methods

COORDINATED TEST METHOD



c) The Coordinated test methods


REMOTE TEST METHOD



T0720460-94d08

d) The Remote test methods

DESIGN – TEST PHASES



UNIT TEST

- › Unit testing
 - also known as component, module or program testing,
- › Searches for defects in, and verifies the functioning of software that are separately testable
 - e.g. modules, programs, objects, classes, etc.
- › Focuses on one class or method
- › Small, fast
 - Unit tests run fast. If they don't run fast, they aren't unit tests.
 - All the unit tests shall run in less than ~10 seconds

UNIT TEST

- › White-box testing type
 - Access to code
 - Access to development environment
 - Writes the programmer/developer
 - › Sometimes a different one
 - Defects fixed when found

- › They test *how* the code is implemented rather the concept

COMPONENT / UNIT TEST


- › All code must have unit tests
- › All code must pass all unit tests before it can be released
- › When a bug is found, tests are created

UNIT TESTS

› Mocking:

- substitutes its own object (the “mock object”) for an object that talks to the outside world
- checks that it is called correctly and provides a pre-scripted response

› Stubs and Drivers



INTEGRATION TESTS

- › **Integration testing** tests interfaces between components, interactions to different parts of a system such as an operating system, file system and hardware or interfaces between systems
- › Checks how code communicates with the rest of world
 - talks to a database
 - communicates across a network
 - touches the file system
 - special things to your environment (such as editing configuration files) to be done to run it

LEVELS OF INTEGRATION TESTING

- › Component integration testing
 - tests the interactions between software components and is done after component testing;
- › System integration testing
 - tests the interactions between different systems and may be done after system testing.
- › The greater the scope of integration, the more difficult it becomes to isolate failures to a specific interface

INTEGRATION TEST APPROACHES

› 'Big-bang' integration testing

- All components or systems are integrated simultaneously
- Advantage: everything is finished before integration testing starts
 - › no need to simulate (yet unfinished) parts
- Disadvantage: time-consuming, difficult to trace the cause of failures with this late integration
- Good if expecting to find no problems

› Incremental testing

- All components are integrated one by one, and a test is carried out after each step
- Advantage: defects are found early in a smaller assembly when it is relatively easy to detect the cause
- Disadvantage: it can be time-consuming since stubs and drivers have to be developed and used in the test

TYPES OF INCREMENTAL INTEGRATION TESTS

- › Top-down: testing takes place from top to bottom, following the control flow or architectural structure (e.g. starting from the GUI or main menu)
 - Components or systems are substituted by stubs.
- › Bottom-up: testing takes place from the bottom of the control flow upwards
 - Components or systems are substituted by drivers
- › Functional incremental: integration and testing takes place on the basis of the functions or functionality, as documented in the functional specification

INTEGRATION TESTS

- › Start with testing high-risk interfaces
 - Prevents major defects at the end of the integration test stage
- › Integration tests concentrate solely on the integration itself
 - Checks the communication between the integrated components not the functionality of them
- › Testing of specific non-functional characteristics (e.g. performance) may also be included
- › May be carried out by developers or by testers

INTEGRATION TESTS

- › Shall run in the same way
 - If e.g. a data-base value needed – write it before the test
 - Independent from the order of execution
- › Shall run on its own
 - Set up its environment
 - Restore the previous environment at the end
 - › Even if fails or exception thrown (!)
- › Not needed too many
 - Each shall test just one aspect of the communication
 - Number is proportional to the external interaction types
 - If lot of needed can indicate design problem
 - › Business logic is not well separated from communication

SYSTEM TESTS

- › System testing is concerned with the behavior of the whole system/product
 - System testing is most often the final test on behalf of development to verify that the system to be delivered meets the specification
 - Purpose: to find as many defects as possible
 - Investigate both **functional** and **non-functional requirements**
 - › Typical non-functional tests include performance and reliability
 - Requires a controlled **test environment**
 - › shall correspond to the final target or production environment

ACCEPTANCE TESTS

- › When development organization has performed system test, system will be delivered to the user or customer for **acceptance testing**
 - Acceptance testing is the responsibility of the user or customer
 - The execution of the acceptance test requires a test environment that is representative of the production environment
 - Acceptance testing determines whether the system is fit for its purpose
 - Finding defects should not be the main focus in acceptance testing
 - Although it assesses the system's readiness for deployment and use
 - Not necessarily the final level of testing
 - › large-scale system integration test may come after the acceptance of a system.

TYPES OF ACCEPTANCE TESTING

- › User acceptance test
 - Focuses on the functionality: validates the fitness-for-use of the system by the business user
- › Operational (or production) acceptance test
 - Validates whether the system meets the requirements for operation
 - May include testing of backup/restore, disaster recovery, maintenance tasks and periodic check of security vulnerabilities
- › Contract acceptance testing
 - Contract acceptance testing is performed against a contract's acceptance criteria
 - Acceptance should be formally defined when the contract is agreed
- › Compliance (regulation) acceptance testing
 - Performed against the regulations which must be adhered to, such as governmental, legal or safety regulations

ALPHA/BETA TESTS

- › If the system has been developed for the mass market
 - Feedback is needed from potential or existing users before the software product is put out for sale commercially.
- › Alpha testing
 - Takes place at the developer's site.
 - A cross-section of potential users and members of the developer's organization are invited
 - Developers observe the users and note problems
- › Beta testing
 - A cross-section of users invited, who install it and use it under real-world working conditions.
 - The users send records of incidents with the system to the development organization where the defects are repaired.

TEST TYPES: THE TARGETS OF TESTING

- › A **test type** is focused on a particular test objective
 - testing of a **function** to be performed by the component or system;
 - a **nonfunctional** quality characteristic, such as reliability or usability;
 - the **structure**/architecture of the component or system;
 - related to **changes**,
 - › i.e. confirming that defects have been fixed (confirmation testing, or re-testing)
 - › looking for unintended changes (regression testing).

FUNCTIONAL TESTING

- › The function of a system (or component) is
 - 'what it does'.
 - Typically described in a requirements specification, a functional specification, or in use cases
- › **Functional testing** considers the specified behavior
 - Black-box testing
 - Based upon ISO 9126
 - Can focus on suitability, interoperability, security, accuracy and compliance

VERSIONS OF FUNCTION TESTING

› Requirements-based testing

- Uses a specification of the functional requirements
- A good way to start is to use the table of contents of the requirements specification
- Decide what to test (or not to test)
- Prioritize the requirements based on risk criteria
 - › This ensures that the most important/critical tests are included

› Business-process-based testing

- Uses knowledge of the business processes
- E.g business processes of a payroll system can be:
 - › someone joins the company,
 - › is paid on a regular basis
 - › leaves the company, etc.

NON-FUNCTIONAL TESTING

- › Testing of product quality characteristics or non-functional attributes of the system
 - how well or how fast the system works
 - › performance testing (different load)
 - load testing (expected load)
 - stress testing (overloading)
 - › usability testing
 - › maintainability testing
 - › reliability testing
 - › portability testing

LOAD TEST

- › Test how the system behaves in real environment
 - Expected traffic
- › Testing with (high) traffic
 - Different traffic models
 - Simulating a lot of users
 - Need automation
 - Time limits
- › Very expensive tools

QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS

ISO 9126

- › **Functionality** (Functional testing)

 - suitability, accuracy, security, interoperability;

- › **Reliability**

 - Maturity (robustness), fault-tolerance, recoverability

- › **Usability**

 - understandability, learnability, operability, attractiveness

- › **Efficiency**

 - time behavior (performance), resource utilization

- › **Maintainability**

 - analyzability, changeability, stability, testability

- › **Portability**

 - adaptability, installability, co-existence, replaceability

TESTING RELATED TO CHANGES - 1

- › Re-testing (Confirmation testing)
 - Test fails -> determine the cause -> defect is reported -> new version of the software in which defect fixed
 - Execute the failed test again to confirm that the defect has indeed been fixed
- › Important to ensure that the test is executed in exactly the same way as it was the first time using the same
 - Inputs
 - Data
 - Environment

TESTING RELATED TO CHANGES - 2

› Regression testing

- Check if the modification of software/environment does not introduce bug in the non-modified part
- Typically executes test cases that have been executed before

› All the regression tests shall be executed every time a new version of software is produced

- After bug-fixes
- Change existing functionality
- Introduce new functionality
- Environment changes
 - › E.g. new Data-base, new compiler

› Ideal candidates for **automation**

EVOLUTION OF REGRESSION TEST SUITE

- › Maintenance of a regression test suite is necessary
 - Shall evolve in line with the software
- › When new functionality is added to a system
 - New regression tests shall be added
- › If old functionality is changed or removed
 - Regression tests be changed or removed
- › If becomes too large
 - Subset of the test cases has to be chosen
 - Keep the new/recently failed tests
 - Eliminate test cases that have not found a defect for a long time (though this approach should be used with some care!)